Case: 1:18-cv-05587 Document #: 1109 Filed: 12/27/21 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:53982 Case: 21-2664 Document: 00713931629 Filed: 12/27/2021 Pages: 2

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk Phone: (312) 435-5850 www.ca7.uscourts.gov

**ORDER** 

November 4, 2021

Before FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, Circuit Judge MICHAEL S. KANNE, Circuit Judge DIANE P. WOOD, Circuit Judge A True Copy
Teste:
Deput Clerk
of the United States
Court of Appeals for the
Seventh Circuit

	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
	Plaintiff
	and
	KEVIN B. DUFF,
	Appellee
No. 21-2664	v.
	EQUITYBUILD, INC., et al.,  Defendants
	and
	VENTUS HOLDINGS, LLC and VENTUS MERRILL, LLC, Intervenors - Appellants
Originating Case Information:	

The following are before the court:

District Court No: 1:18-cv-05587

District Judge John Z. Lee

Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division

1. MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL, filed on October 13, 2021, by counsel for the appellee.

Case: 1:18-cv-05587 Document #: 1109 Filed: 12/27/21 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:53983 Case: 21-2664 Document: 00713931629 Filed: 12/27/2021 Pages: 2

No. 21-2664 Page 2

2. **RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL**, filed on October 28, 2021, by counsel for the appellants.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(2) this court has jurisdiction to hear appeals from "Interlocutory orders appointing receivers, or refusing orders to wind up receiverships or to take steps to accomplish the purposes thereof, such as directing sales or other disposals of property." Appellant appeals from the district court's order denying a motion for return of earnest money paid to the receiver under a contract to purchase property. This order was not a refusal to take steps to accomplish the purposes for winding up a receivership or either of the other types of orders listed in § 1292(a)(2). See United States v. Antiques Ltd. P'ship, 760 F.3d 668, 671 (7th Cir. 2014). We thus agree with the receiver that this appeal does not fall within the scope of that section, and the order is not otherwise appealable as a final decision, 28 U.S.C. § 1291. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the motion to dismiss is GRANTED and this appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.

form name: c7\_Order\_3J (form ID: 177)